



Docket No.: 392.1725

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Akihiro TERADA, et al.

Group Art Unit: 1725

Serial No. 09/964,651

Examiner: M. Elve

Filed: September 28, 2001

For: PRESSING DEVICE

COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Statement of Reasons for Allowance was forwarded in the Notice of Allowability mailed April 6, 2004.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

The Examiner characterizes certain features of identified claims. However, it is submitted that not all of the features listed by the Examiner are recited in all of the identified claims.


By way of example, the Examiner's Reasons refer to "a driving force." However, independent claims 4, 7 and 21 do not recite this feature. The discrepancies between the Examiner's Reasons and the recited claims are not limited to those specifically listed herein.

It is further submitted that the claims speak for themselves and should not be interpreted based on the Examiner's characterizations of same. It is also submitted that the claims provide their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance.

In summary, it is submitted that the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

By: 
Michael J. Badagliacca
Registration No. 39,099

Date: 28 APRIL 04

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500